1	HOWARD A. SLAVITT (State Bar # 172840			
2	COBLENTZ, PATCH, DUFFY & BASS, LL One Ferry Building, Suite 200	.P		
3	San Francisco, California 94111-4213 Telephone: (415) 391-4800			
4	Facsimile: (415) 989-1663 E-mail: has@cpdb.com			
5				
6	MICHAEL N. RADER (admitted pro hac vic CHARLES T. STEENBURG (admitted pro h	,		
7	WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue			
8	Boston, MA 02210-2206			
9	Telephone: (617) 646-8000 Facsimile: (617) 646-8646			
10	E-mail: mrader@wolfgreenfield.com csteenburg@wolfgreenfield.com			
11				
12	Attorneys for Defendant biolitec, Inc.			
13	(Counsel for Other Defendants Listed on Last Page)			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15		CISCO DIVISION		
16	TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP d/b/a	Case No. C08-03129 MMC		
17	VNUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES,	Case No. C08-04234 MMC		
18	Plaintiff,	(consolidated with Case No. C08-03129 MMC)		
19	v. BIOLITEC, INC.	JOINT STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER BY VNUS AND		
20	Defendant.	BIOLITEC FOR DISMISSAL OF		
21		BIOLITEC AND PARTIAL VACATUR OF VERDICT		
22	TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP d/b/a	AND ORDER THEREON		
23	VNUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES,	Judge: The Hon. Maxine M. Chesney		
24	Plaintiff,			
25	V. TOTAL VEIN SOLUTIONS LLC 4/b/o			
26	TOTAL VEIN SOLUTIONS, LLC. d/b/a TOTAL VEIN SYSTEMS,			
27	Defendant.			
28				

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Tyco Healthcare Group LP d/b/a VNUS Medical Technologies ("VNUS") and Defendant biolitec, Inc. ("biolitec") have entered into a Settlement Agreement resolving all claims asserted by VNUS against biolitec and all counterclaims asserted by biolitec against VNUS in the above-captioned action;

WHEREAS the Settlement Agreement expressly contemplates the dismissal and vacatur provided for herein, so that dismissal and vactur will resolve all open litigation issues between VNUS and biolitec, including without limitation those matters recited in the preamble to the Settlement Agreement;

NOW THEREFORE, VNUS and biolitec hereby jointly stipulate and respectfully move the Court for a confirmatory order as follows:

- The portions of the December 8, 2010 verdict (D.I. 441) corresponding specifically to biolitec (i.e., questions 1, 2, 3, 8a & 8b) are hereby VACATED pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b). *See Thomas v. City of Tacoma*, 410 F.3d 644, 647 n.2 (9th Cir. 2005); *Click Entm't, Inc. v. JYP Entm't Co.*, 2009 WL 3030212, at *1 (D. Haw. 2009);
- 2) biolitec is dismissed with prejudice from this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2);
- 3) The counterclaims asserted by biolitec against VNUS are dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(c);
- 4) Each party will bear its own costs; and
- 5) The caption shall be amended in all subsequent pleadings to reflect this dismissal.

1 2	Dated: September 8, 2011	TYC	ORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF O HEALTHCARE GROUP LP d/b/a S MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES
3		By:	/s/ Matthew B. Lehr
4		27.	Matthew B. Lehr (Bar No. 213139)
5			Diem-Suong T. Nguyen (Bar No. 237557) DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP
6			1600 El Camino Real Menlo Park, CA 94025
7			(650) 752-2000/(650) 752-2111 (fax)
8			mlehr@dpw.com nguyen@dpw.com
9			
10	Dated: September 8, 2011	ATTO	ORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT BIOLITEC, INC.
11		By:	/s/ Michael N. Rader
12			Michael N. Rader (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Allen S. Rugg (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
13			Charles T. Steenburg (pro hac vice)
14			WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue
15			Boston, MA 02210 (617) 646-8000/(617) 646-8646
16			mrader@wolfgreenfield.com arugg@wolfgreenfield.com
17 18			csteenburg@wolfgreenfield.com
19			
20	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	D-4-1. g . 1 0 2011		
22	Dated: <u>September 9</u> , 2011		
23			m = 0 = 0 = 0
23	THE HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge		
25			
26			
26			
28			
28			